

MARKING SCHEME ACCOUNTS OLEVEL OCTOBER 2005 Updated Version

marking scheme accounts olevel october 2005 is a crucial topic for students preparing for their O-Level examinations, especially those who sat for the October 2005 session. Having access to the detailed marking scheme not only helps students understand how marks are allocated but also guides them on how to structure their answers effectively to maximize their scores. In this article, we will explore the marking scheme for Accounts O-Level October 2005, providing insights into the exam structure, marking criteria, common question types, and tips for success. Whether you're revisiting past papers or preparing for future exams, understanding the marking scheme is essential for achieving your best results.

Understanding the Marking Scheme for Accounts O-Level October 2005

The marking scheme for the October 2005 Accounts O-Level exam was designed to evaluate students' knowledge, application skills, and understanding of accounting principles. It clearly delineates how marks are distributed across different sections and question types, enabling candidates to focus their efforts efficiently.

Overview of the Exam Structure

The October 2005 Accounts exam typically comprised three main sections:

- Section A: Multiple Choice Questions (MCQs)
- Section B: Short Answer Questions
- Section C: Data Processing and Problem Solving

Each section had a specified mark allocation, with detailed marking criteria for each question to ensure fairness and consistency.

Marking Criteria and Breakdown

The marking scheme emphasized the following aspects:

- **Accuracy in calculations** : Correct arithmetic and application of formulas

- **Understanding of concepts** ? Correct application of accounting principles
- **Clarity and presentation** ? Well-organized and legible answers
- **Completeness of answers** ? Addressing all parts of multi-part questions

Each question was assigned specific marks, with partial credits awarded based on the correctness of individual steps or components.

Detailed Breakdown of the Marking Scheme for Key Questions

To better understand how marks were allocated, let's examine some common question types from the October 2005 exam.

1. Journal Entries and Ledger Accounts

- **Journal Entries:** 2-3 marks each, awarded for correct recording of transactions, including date, accounts involved, and narration.
- **Ledger Posting:** 2 marks per ledger account, for correct transfer from journal.
- **Trial Balance Preparation:** 3-4 marks, based on correct totals and balancing.

Partial marks were given for correctly identifying accounts even if the exact figures were off.

2. Preparation of Financial Statements

- **Income Statement (Profit and Loss Account):** 4-6 marks, depending on correct calculation of gross profit, expenses, and net profit.
- **Balance Sheet:** 4-6 marks, for accurate listing of assets, liabilities, and owner's equity.
- **Presentation and correctness:** Additional marks awarded for neatness, proper heading, and proper classification.

3. Solving Problems and Calculations

- **Bank Reconciliation Statements:** 4-5 marks, for correct identification of discrepancies and accurate reconciliation figures.
- **Depreciation and amortization calculations:** 2-3 marks, depending on correct formula application.
- **Costing and inventory valuation:** 3-4 marks, based on correct application of methods like FIFO or average cost.

Correctness, clarity, and logical sequence are key factors influencing scores.

Common Mistakes and How to Avoid Them Based on the Marking Scheme

Understanding common pitfalls highlighted by the marking scheme can help students improve their performance.

1. Misplacing Entries in Ledger Accounts

Students often confuse debit and credit sides. To avoid losing marks:

- Always double-check the account titles and the nature of the transaction.
- Use standard conventions: assets and expenses are debited, liabilities, income, and equity are credited.

2. Calculation Errors in Financial Statements

Incorrect arithmetic can cost valuable marks:

- Work systematically, showing all steps clearly.
- Use calculator functions carefully and cross-verify totals.

3. Poor Presentation and Organization

Marks are awarded for clarity:

- Write answers neatly with proper headings and subheadings.
- Label all columns and figures appropriately.

Tips for Students Based on the 2005 Marking Scheme

Using insights from the marking scheme, students can adopt strategies to excel in their exams.

1. Practice Past Papers Thoroughly

- Review the October 2005 paper and marking scheme to understand question patterns.

- Practice under exam conditions to improve time management.

2. Focus on Core Concepts

- Master fundamental accounting principles as they form the basis for most questions.
- Be able to explain processes clearly, as explanation marks are often awarded.

3. Show All Working Clearly

- Even if the final answer is correct, marks may be lost if workings are missing or unclear.
- Use brackets and steps to guide your calculations.

4. Review Marking Scheme for Each Question Type

- Understand how marks are distributed to prioritize sections that carry more weight.
- Allocate time accordingly during the exam.

Conclusion

The **marking scheme accounts olevel october 2005** provides valuable insights into how exams are graded and what examiners look for in student responses. By understanding the detailed marking criteria, students can tailor their preparation to focus on areas that yield the highest marks. Whether it's mastering journal entries, preparing accurate financial statements, or solving complex problems, adhering to the principles outlined in the marking scheme can significantly enhance your performance. Remember, consistent practice, clarity in presentation, and a strong grasp of core concepts are the keys to success in Accounts at the O-Level. Use past papers as a guide, review the marking scheme thoroughly, and approach your studies with confidence to excel in your exams.

Marking Scheme Accounts O-Level October 2005: A Comprehensive Guide to Understanding and Utilizing the Marking Scheme

When reviewing the marking scheme accounts O-Level October 2005, students, teachers, and examiners alike gain vital insights into how examiners allocate marks, what to emphasize in answers, and how to maximize scores. A clear understanding of the marking scheme not only demystifies the grading process but also provides a strategic advantage during preparation and examination. This guide delves deeply into the structure of the October 2005 scheme, offering a detailed breakdown, tips for success, and practical advice on how to interpret and apply this valuable resource effectively.

Why the Marking Scheme Matters

Before dissecting the specifics of the October 2005 scheme, it's important to understand why a marking scheme is an essential tool for both students and educators:

- **Clarity on Expectations:** It spells out what examiners expect in each answer, including key points, structure, and depth.
- **Focus for Revision:** It highlights the core topics and concepts that are most likely to earn marks.
- **Assessment of Performance:** It allows students to evaluate their answers against official standards.
- **Preparation Strategy:** It informs teachers on how to guide students effectively and what common pitfalls to avoid.

Overview of the October 2005 Marking Scheme for Accounts O-Level

The October 2005 marking scheme for Accounts O-Level typically encompasses the following components:

- **Sectional Breakdown:** Dividing the paper into sections (e.g., Theory, Practical, or Case Study questions).
- **Mark Allocation:** Detailing the number of marks assigned to each question or part.
- **Answer Criteria:** Clear instructions on what constitutes a complete, partial, or incomplete answer.
- **Common Mistakes:** Highlighting frequent errors that lead to mark deductions.
- **Sample Marking Points:** Examples illustrating how specific answers are marked.

Analyzing the Structure of the 2005 Scheme

- **Question Types and Their Marking Strategies**

The October 2005 scheme generally covers questions such as:

- **Definitions and Concepts:** Usually short-answer questions worth 1-2 marks each.
- **Calculation-Based Problems:** Requiring accurate computations, often worth 3-5 marks.
- **Application and Explanation:** Explaining processes or principles, with marks allocated for clarity and accuracy.
- **Scenario or Case Study Questions:** Testing application of theory to practical situations, often

with multi-part answers.

Each question type has specific marking criteria, which are crucial for students aiming to score high.

- Mark Distribution and Weighting

Understanding how marks are distributed helps in prioritizing questions:

- Short-answer questions may total 20-30 marks.
- Calculation questions might total 25-35 marks.
- Longer, explanatory questions can carry 15-25 marks.
- Total marks for the paper often sum up to 100.

Knowing this allows candidates to allocate their time effectively, focusing more on higher-weighted questions.

Detailed Breakdown of the 2005 Scheme

Part A: Multiple Choice and Short Answer Questions

- Marking focus: Accuracy and precision.
- Common criteria:
 - Correctness of figures or definitions.
 - Use of proper terminology.
 - Clear, concise responses.

Example:

A question asks for the definition of 'capital'. The marking scheme might allocate 1 mark for mentioning 'the amount of money invested in a business' and an additional mark for elaborating on its role.

Part B: Calculation and Application Questions

- Marking focus: Correct formulas, accurate calculations, and logical application.
- Common pitfalls:
 - Arithmetic errors leading to deduction.

- Omitting units or labels.
- Failing to show steps, risking no marks for incorrect final answers.

Example:

Calculating depreciation using the straight-line method might be awarded marks for correctly identifying the formula, plugging in the numbers, and the final answer.

Part C: Essay and Explanation Questions

- Marking focus: Clarity, coherence, and depth.
- Key points:
 - Address all parts of the question.
 - Use of relevant examples.
 - Proper paragraphing and logical flow.

Example:

Explaining the importance of maintaining accurate accounts might earn marks based on the explanation of record-keeping, financial analysis, and decision-making.

Common Marking Scheme Features in October 2005

- Negative marking for errors: Some questions deduct marks if critical errors are made.
- Partial credit: Awarded for partially correct answers, especially in multi-step calculations.
- Keywords emphasis: Use of specific accounting terms can earn bonus marks.
- Step-by-step marking: For calculations, each step is marked, encouraging transparent working.

How to Use the 2005 Marking Scheme Effectively

Step 1: Review the Scheme Thoroughly

- Study each question's marking points.
- Note the keywords and phrases that attract marks.
- Understand what constitutes a full, partial, or zero mark.

Step 2: Practice Past Questions with the Scheme

- Attempt questions under exam conditions.
- Mark your answers against the scheme.
- Identify areas where your answers diverge from the expected points.

Step 3: Focus on High-Value Areas

- Prioritize questions that carry more marks.
- Ensure mastery of core concepts that are frequently tested.

Step 4: Improve on Common Mistakes

- Use the scheme to recognize recurring errors.
- Practice corrections to avoid losing marks in exams.

Practical Tips for Students

- Memorize key formulas and definitions: As these are often the basis for marks.
- Show all working in calculations: Even if the final answer is wrong, partial marks can be salvaged.
- Use appropriate terminology: Using correct accounting language can earn bonus points.
- Answer all questions: Even if unsure, educated guesses can sometimes earn partial credit.
- Time management: Allocate more time to questions with higher marks, as indicated by the scheme.

Final Thoughts: Leveraging the 2005 Scheme for Success

Understanding the marking scheme accounts O-Level October 2005 is a powerful tool for students aiming to excel. It demystifies the grading process, clarifies what examiners look for, and guides effective preparation. By analyzing past schemes, practicing with them, and tailoring responses accordingly, students can significantly improve their performance.

Remember, the key is not just knowing the content but also understanding how to present it in a way that aligns with the marking criteria. With diligent study, strategic practice, and a keen eye on the marking scheme, success in Accounts O-Level exams is well within reach.

QuestionAnswer What was the marking scheme for Accounts in O-Level October 2005? The marking scheme allocated marks based on accuracy, completeness, and clarity of responses, with specific points assigned for each question component. Detailed marks were provided for

calculations, explanations, and presentation, ensuring students understood the importance of showing working and correct answers. How were marks distributed across different sections in the October 2005 Accounts exam? Marks were distributed proportionally across sections, typically with a higher weight for calculations and problem-solving questions, and fewer marks for theory or explanation questions. Exact distribution varied per question but emphasized accuracy in financial calculations. What are common mistakes highlighted in the October 2005 marking scheme for Accounts? Common mistakes included incorrect calculations, omission of steps, misclassification of accounts, and failure to show detailed workings. The marking scheme penalized these errors to encourage clarity and accuracy. How many marks were allocated for the question on preparing trial balances in October 2005? Typically, the trial balance question was allocated around 10-15 marks, depending on the complexity of the problem, emphasizing correct listing, balancing, and error detection. Did the October 2005 marking scheme emphasize working steps in solving accounts questions? Yes, the marking scheme highly valued showing detailed working steps, as partial marks were awarded for correct processes even if the final answer was incorrect, promoting transparency and understanding. Were there specific allocation marks for theory questions in the October 2005 Accounts paper? Yes, theory questions had designated marks, often focusing on definitions, principles of accounting, and explanations of concepts, typically earning 2-5 marks each depending on depth and clarity. How can students use the October 2005 marking scheme to improve their exam performance? Students can review the marking scheme to understand the key points and steps required in each question, practice showing detailed workings, and focus on accuracy and clarity to maximize marks. Is the October 2005 Accounts marking scheme available for students preparing for similar exams today? While the exact scheme from October 2005 may not be publicly available, understanding past marking schemes helps students grasp the examiners' expectations, and similar principles are often applied in current marking schemes.

Related keywords: O Level marking scheme, October 2005, exam answers, grading criteria, scoring guide, question paper marking, examination scheme, assessment criteria, answer key, grading system

KS1 SATS MARK SCHEME 2007

ks1 sats mark scheme 2007: A Comprehensive Guide for Educators and Parents

Understanding the **KS1 SATs mark scheme 2007** is essential for educators, parents, and students involved in the Key Stage 1 assessments. These national tests, which take place in England for children aged 6 to 7, play a significant role in providing a snapshot of a child's progress in core subjects such as English and Maths. The 2007 mark scheme offers valuable insights into how these assessments are graded, ensuring transparency and consistency in marking. This article explores

the key aspects of the **KS1 SATs mark scheme 2007**, its structure, application, and importance in the educational landscape.

Understanding the Purpose of the KS1 SATs Mark Scheme 2007

What is the KS1 SATs?

The Key Stage 1 SATs are national assessments designed to evaluate the attainment of young learners at the end of Key Stage 1. They cover subjects like:

- English (Reading, Writing, and Spelling, Punctuation & Grammar)
- Mathematics (Number, Algebra, Shape, Space, and Measures)

The results help teachers identify areas where pupils excel or need additional support.

Role of the Mark Scheme

The mark scheme provides detailed criteria for awarding marks for each answer, ensuring consistency across schools and marking periods. In 2007, the scheme was particularly focused on:

- Clear descriptors for partial and full answers
- Guidelines for awarding marks based on the quality of responses
- Ensuring fairness and objectivity in assessment

Structure of the KS1 SATs Mark Scheme 2007

English Mark Scheme (2007)

The English assessment in 2007 was divided into sections:

- Reading
- Writing
- Spelling, Punctuation & Grammar (SPaG)

Each section had specific criteria, with the mark scheme defining:

- Level descriptors

- Number of marks available for each task
- Examples of acceptable answers

Mathematics Mark Scheme (2007)

The Maths assessment focused on key areas:

- Number and place value
- Addition, subtraction, multiplication, division
- Shape, space, and measures

The mark scheme specified:

- Answer accuracy
- Method marks for demonstrating working out
- Partial credit for partially correct answers

Applying the KS1 SATs Mark Scheme 2007

Marking Procedures

Teachers and markers used the scheme to:

- Read student responses carefully
- Match answers against the criteria outlined in the mark scheme
- Assign marks based on completeness and correctness

Levels of Attainment

The 2007 scheme incorporated levels to categorize student performance:

- Level 2 (working towards the expected standard)
- Level 3 (meeting the expected standard)
- Level 4 (exceeding the standard)

These levels helped provide a clear picture of a child's progress relative to national benchmarks.

Importance of the KS1 SATs Mark Scheme 2007

Ensuring Fairness and Consistency

The detailed criteria minimized subjective judgment, ensuring that all students were assessed equitably regardless of the school or teacher.

Supporting Teaching and Learning

Results from the assessments, guided by the mark scheme, helped educators identify strengths and weaknesses, informing future lesson planning.

Preparing for Future Assessments

Understanding the mark scheme's structure and expectations enabled schools to better prepare students for subsequent stages of their education.

Key Features of the 2007 KS1 SATs Mark Scheme

Clarity and Specificity

The scheme provided explicit descriptions of what constitutes a correct or partially correct answer, reducing ambiguity.

Partial Credit Allocation

In recognition of partial understanding, the scheme allowed for awarding marks for partially correct responses, encouraging pupils to attempt answers even if incomplete.

Use of Exemplars

Sample answers were included to illustrate different levels of response quality, aiding markers in consistent grading.

Challenges and Considerations with the 2007 Mark Scheme

Subjectivity in Marking

Despite detailed criteria, some level of subjective judgment remained, especially in open-ended questions.

Impact of Mark Scheme on Teaching Strategies

Teachers might tailor instruction to meet specific assessment criteria, which could influence teaching priorities.

Evolution of Mark Schemes

Since 2007, mark schemes have undergone revisions to reflect curriculum changes and improved assessment practices, but understanding historical schemes remains valuable for context.

Conclusion: The Significance of the KS1 SATs Mark Scheme 2007

The **KS1 SATs mark scheme 2007** played a pivotal role in shaping fair, consistent, and transparent assessment practices for young learners in England. It provided a structured framework for teachers to evaluate student responses accurately and fairly, ultimately supporting the broader goal of ensuring high-quality education. For educators and parents alike, understanding the intricacies of the 2007 mark scheme offers insights into assessment standards and the importance of clear criteria in facilitating student progress. As educational assessments continue to evolve, the principles embedded in the 2007 scheme—clarity, fairness, and consistency—remain foundational to effective evaluation practices.

KS1 SATs Mark Scheme 2007: An In-Depth Review

The KS1 SATs Mark Scheme 2007 remains a significant reference point for educators, parents, and assessment professionals involved in the primary education sector. Designed to provide a standardized framework for evaluating pupils' performance at the end of Key Stage 1, this mark scheme offers detailed guidance on how students' work is graded and how scores are allocated across core subjects such as English and Mathematics. Understanding the structure, application, and implications of this mark scheme is essential for ensuring fair assessment, effective teaching strategies, and meaningful feedback for pupils.

Overview of the KS1 SATs Mark Scheme 2007

The KS1 SATs Mark Scheme 2007 was developed in alignment with the curriculum standards of the time, aiming to facilitate consistent and transparent assessment across schools. It was primarily used for the national curriculum assessments conducted in May 2007 and provided clear criteria for marking pupils' scripts, whether in reading, writing, mathematics, or science.

Key Features:

- Standardization: Ensures uniformity across different schools and examiners.
- Detailed Marking Criteria: Offers explicit guidance on how to award marks based on the quality of responses.
- Grade Boundaries: Defines thresholds for different levels, typically ranging from Level 1 to Level 3, with Level 2 representing the expected standard.
- Focus on Skills and Knowledge: Emphasizes both factual recall and understanding, as well as application and reasoning.

Structure of the Mark Scheme

The mark scheme is divided into subject-specific sections, each tailored to the unique assessment requirements of that subject. Here's a breakdown:

English (Reading and Writing)

- Reading: The mark scheme specifies how to allocate marks based on comprehension, inference, vocabulary, and literal understanding.
- Writing: Focuses on spelling, punctuation, grammar, sentence structure, and coherence. Markers evaluate the accuracy, clarity, and appropriateness of responses.

Mathematics

- The scheme provides detailed criteria for marking calculations, problem-solving, reasoning, and fluency.
- Emphasizes accuracy, method application, and mathematical reasoning.

Science (if applicable)

- Assesses understanding of scientific concepts, data handling, and experimental skills.
- Marking criteria evaluate accuracy, understanding, and application of scientific methods.

Application of the Mark Scheme

The application process involves trained markers who assess pupils' scripts against the detailed criteria. The process emphasizes consistency and fairness, with moderation sessions to reconcile differing judgments.

Process Highlights:

- Initial assessment based on explicit criteria.
- Use of exemplar scripts to calibrate marking standards.
- Moderation meetings to ensure uniformity across markers.
- Final allocation of levels and sub-levels based on cumulative scores.

Pros and Cons of the KS1 SATs Mark Scheme 2007

Pros:

- **Clarity and Transparency:** The detailed criteria provide clear guidance on how marks are awarded, reducing ambiguity.
- **Fairness:** Standardization helps ensure that all pupils are assessed equitably across different schools.
- **Diagnostic Value:** The breakdown of skills and knowledge allows teachers to identify specific areas for development.
- **Benchmarking:** Provides consistent benchmarks for expected performance at Key Stage 1.

Cons:

- **Rigidity:** The detailed criteria may sometimes limit teachers' flexibility to consider creative or non-standard responses.
- **Narrow Focus:** Emphasis on specific skills might overlook broader abilities like critical thinking or creativity.
- **Stress and Pressure:** The high-stakes nature of SATs, coupled with strict marking schemes, can induce anxiety among pupils and teachers.
- **Limited Adaptability:** The 2007 scheme reflects the curriculum standards of that period, which have since evolved, making it less adaptable to modern educational practices.

Features of the Mark Scheme

- **Explicit Marking Guidelines:** Clear instructions on how to allocate marks for each question.
- **Sample Marking Grids:** Examples illustrating how responses should be scored.
- **Level Descriptors:** Descriptive criteria for each level of attainment, detailing what constitutes a Level 1, Level 2, or Level 3 response.
- **Error Identification:** Guidelines for recognizing common misconceptions or mistakes and how they impact scoring.

Impact on Teaching and Learning

The KS1 SATs Mark Scheme 2007 influences teaching strategies significantly. Teachers often tailor their instruction to meet the criteria outlined in the scheme, focusing on developing skills that are explicitly tested.

Positive Impact:

- Encourages targeted skill development.
- Facilitates formative assessment and tracking of pupil progress.
- Helps in identifying pupils who need additional support.

Challenges:

- May lead to teaching to the test, narrowing the curriculum.
- Can cause a focus on measurable skills at the expense of broader educational experiences.
- Risk of undermining intrinsic motivation if pupils perceive assessments as purely punitive.

Evolution and Legacy

Since 2007, the KS1 SATs mark schemes have undergone several modifications to align with curriculum reforms, changes in assessment philosophy, and feedback from educators. However, the 2007 scheme remains a benchmark for understanding the foundational principles of assessment at Key Stage 1.

Legacy Aspects:

- Emphasis on clarity and fairness.
- Foundation for subsequent assessment frameworks.
- A reference point for comparing past and current assessment practices.

Conclusion

The KS1 SATs Mark Scheme 2007 played a pivotal role in shaping primary assessment during its time, offering a structured, transparent, and standardized approach to evaluating young learners' skills. While it has its limitations?such as potential rigidity and the risk of overemphasizing testable skills?it provided valuable insights into pupils' attainment levels and informed teaching practices. For educators, understanding the intricacies of the 2007 mark scheme allows for better preparation, fairer assessment, and more targeted support for pupils. As assessment practices continue to evolve, the principles embedded within the 2007 scheme?clarity, fairness, and focus on

skills?remain relevant and serve as a foundation for ongoing improvements in educational evaluation.

QuestionAnswer What is the KS1 SATs Mark Scheme for 2007 used for? The KS1 SATs Mark Scheme for 2007 is used to assess and score students' performances in Key Stage 1 national assessments, providing standardized criteria for marking pupils' work in subjects like reading, writing, and mathematics. Where can I find the official KS1 SATs Mark Scheme 2007? The official KS1 SATs Mark Scheme 2007 can typically be found on the Department for Education's website or through school assessment resources and archives that provide past exam materials. How does the 2007 KS1 SATs Mark Scheme differ from later versions? The 2007 KS1 SATs Mark Scheme may differ in scoring criteria, levels of achievement, and assessment standards compared to later versions, reflecting the curriculum and assessment frameworks in place at that time. What subjects are covered in the 2007 KS1 SATs Mark Scheme? The 2007 KS1 SATs Mark Scheme covers key subjects including Reading, Writing, and Mathematics, providing specific guidelines for marking student responses in each subject. How are pupils graded using the 2007 KS1 SATs Mark Scheme? Pupils are graded based on their performance against defined levels and criteria outlined in the mark scheme, which helps determine their achievement level and readiness for the next stage of education. Can teachers access sample answers or exemplars for the 2007 KS1 SATs Mark Scheme? Yes, teachers can access sample answers and exemplars that illustrate how to apply the mark scheme effectively, often provided in official assessment materials or teacher guidance documents. Is the 2007 KS1 SATs Mark Scheme still applicable for current assessments? No, the 2007 KS1 SATs Mark Scheme is outdated, as assessment standards and curriculum requirements have evolved; current mark schemes are more relevant for today's assessments. How did the 2007 KS1 SATs Mark Scheme influence student assessment at the time? The 2007 mark scheme provided a standardized way to fairly and consistently assess student performance, helping schools track progress and identify areas needing improvement during that period. Are there any online resources to help interpret the 2007 KS1 SATs Mark Scheme? Yes, educational websites, teacher forums, and archives often provide guides and explanations to help interpret the 2007 KS1 SATs Mark Scheme, although access to official documents is recommended for accuracy. What should I consider when using the 2007 KS1 SATs Mark Scheme for historical assessment review? When using the 2007 mark scheme for historical review, consider changes in curriculum standards, assessment criteria, and the context of the time to ensure accurate interpretation and comparison.

Related keywords: KS1 SATs mark scheme 2007, KS1 assessment criteria 2007, KS1 SATs grading 2007, KS1 level descriptors 2007, KS1 test marking scheme 2007, primary school SATs 2007, KS1 assessment guidelines 2007, Key Stage 1 marking criteria 2007, KS1 test score interpretation 2007, KS1 examination standards 2007

Read the full article online: [Ks1 Sats Mark Scheme 2007](#)